Resolution No. R-021- 057

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS, COLORADO

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ABATEMENT SETTLEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ASSESSOR.

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners ("Board") is responsible for hearing petitions for refunds or abatement of property taxes pursuant to §§ 39-1-113 and 39-10-114, C.R.S.; and

WHEREAS, in those instances where a taxpayer's petition for refund or abatement of property taxes to the Board has been reviewed by the Assessor for the County of Douglas ("Assessor") and recommended for approval or partial approval, the Board has the authority to settle all such appeals and accept the recommendations of the Assessor; and

WHEREAS, the Assessor has reviewed the following petition for refund or abatement of property taxes and has determined that an adjustment to value is appropriate:

Abatement No.	Petitioner
202100042	Thrive Affordable Vet Care
202100076	PMPC LLC
202001347	CWC Income Properties 5 LLC
202100037 & 202100038	2540 East County Line Road LLC
202100099	Highland Ranch Leased Housing Assoc. LLC

and

WHEREAS, the Assessor has conferred with the taxpayer petitioning for refund or abatement of property taxes and the taxpayer has agreed with the Assessor's recommendation; and

WHEREAS, the Assessor wishes to submit the recommendation for adjustment to value for approval by the Board; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Douglas, State of Colorado, that the Board accepts the recommendation of the Assessor and orders a separate resolution be prepared for this abatement petition and that the petitioner be notified of this decision.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 11th day of May, 2021, in Castle Rock, Douglas County, Colorado.

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS, COLORADO

(Leo. P. Jeal	
BY:	F6CD14502431405	DocuSigned by
GEOR	GE TEAL, Chair	A COMPANY OF THE PARK OF THE P
ATTES		(96)
KRIST	TIN RANDLETT, Clerk to the	ne Board

DOUGLAS COUNTY ABATEMENT HEARING REFEREE WORKSHEET

Petitioner:	Gyrls Group LLC	Agent:	Todd Stevens
Property Addre	ess: 13365 James E Casey Ave, Englewood		Abatement Number: 202100026
Assessor's Orig	ginal Value: \$2,086,205		
Hearin	g Date: April 15, 2021 Hearing	g Time:	1:00 p.m.
1. The Doug	glas County Assessor was represented at the he	aring by	Robert Moffitt
2. The Petiti	oner was: a. □ present b. □ not present c. ☒ present/represented by Michael Kaund. d. □not present/represented by Click he		
3. Assesso	or's Recommended Value: \$2,086,205 (no cha	inge)	
Petition	ner's Requested Value: \$1,800,000		
4 D. C.			. C.1 1: Pol

4. Petitioner presented the following testimony and documents in support of the claim: The petitioner's agent presented two industrial lease comps and did a proforma income approach using a lease rate of \$7.00/sf, 10% vacancy rate, 10% NNN expense rate, 3% for replacement reserves and used a 7.50% capitalization rate to arrive at his requested value of \$1,800,000.

5.	The Assessor presented the following testimony and documents in support of the Assessor's position:				
	a.	⊠da	ta from sales of comparable properties which sold during the applicable time period; and /or		
	b.		luation using the cost approach; and/or		
	c.	□a v	raluation using the income approach; and/or		
	d.	⊠ot	her: Rebuttal for petitioner's income approach		
			E FINDS AND RECOMMENDS THAT THE PROPER CLASSIFICATION AND E OF THE PROPERTY ARE:		
Class	sificatio	on:	Industrial		
Tota	l Actua	al Valu	e: \$2,086,205		
any r 2006 \$136 rango	narket (subje .00/sf e of sal	data to ect 2009 (subject les usec	lows: Petitioner's proforma income approach used excessive vacancy and expense data without o support his assumptions. The assessor used seven sales comparables ranging in age from 1970 to 8), in size from 25,000 sf to 54,280 sf (subject 25,135 sf) and price per square foot from \$80.56 to ct value = \$83/sf). The petitioner's requested value of \$1,800,00 (\$71.61/sf) is well below the d by the assessor and reflects a leased fee value that appears to be below market value. The well supported, this petition should be denied.		
IT IS	STHE	REFO	RE RECOMMENDED that for the above-stated reasons, the Petition for Abatement is:		
Reco			approved and the value of the subject property is reduced as set forth in the Findings and s herein		
	1	Ь. □	Approved in part as set forth in the Findings and Recommendations herein		
	•	c. 🛛	Denied after abatement hearing		
	•	d. 🗆	Administrative Denial is Granted		
REF	EREE	: :			
s/ Jej Nam	ffrey Ha ie	ımilton	4/15/2021 Date		

Abatement Log No. 202100026