CROWFOOT VALLEY RANCH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NOS. 1 & 2

141 Union Boulevard, Suite 150 Lakewood, Colorado 80228-1898 Tel: (303) 987-0835 Fax: (303) 987-2032

March 7, 2012

Douglas County Jack Arrowsmith/Clerk & Recorder 301 Wilcox St. P.O. Box 1360 Castle Rock, CO 80104

Re: Crowfoot Valley Ranch Metropolitan District Nos. 1 & 2; 2011 Annual Report

Dear Mr. Arrowsmith:

Enclosed please find the year 2011 information and documents required to be provided to the County, pursuant to the Annual Report section of the above-referenced District's Amended and Restated Consolidated Service Plan dated December 16, 2008.

Please acknowledge your receipt of the information and documents by signing this letter and returning to this office via facsimile at the number listed above.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Karen J. Steggs

Assistant to Ann E. Finn

District Manager

Enclosure

cc: Grimshaw & Harring, P.C. – Leslie Larsen

Division of Local Government

State Auditor

The above referenced information and documents were received this 6 day of March, 2012.

SEAL ST. 1814

DOUGLAS COUNTY

ву: ___

JACK ARROWSMITH

ANNUAL INFORMATION REPORT for the year 2011 CROWFOOT VALLEY RANCH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NOS. 1 & 2

As required by Section X of the Amended and Restated Consolidated Service Plan for the above-referenced Districts. approved by Douglas County on December 16, 2008, we present the following report of the Districts' activities from January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2011.

- (a) There were no boundary changes made or proposed in 2011.
- (b) The Districts did not enter into any intergovernmental agreements in 2011.
- (c) There were no changes made to the Districts' policies in 2011.
- (d) There were no changes in the Districts' operations procedures during 2011.
- (e) There were no changes in the financial status of the Districts during 2011.
- (f) The Districts were not involved in any litigation in 2011.
- (g) The Districts have no proposed plans for construction projects for the year immediately following the year summarized in this annual report.
- (h) There is no construction scheduled at this time.
- (i) There were no improvements dedicated or accepted by the County in 2011.
- (j) Copies of the 2011 Certificates of Assessed Valuation for both Districts are attached.
- (k) Copies of the 2012 Adopted Budgets for both Districts are attached.
- (1) Summary as follows:
 - 1. The assessed value of District No. 1 is \$30,700. The assessed value of District No. 2 is \$335,850.
 - 2. District No. 1 total acreage is 1 acre. District No. 2 total acreage is 2.042 acres.
 - 3. District No. 1's indebtedness is \$0.00. District No. 2's indebtedness is \$0.00.
 - 4. Not Applicable.
 - 5. See the attached budgets and audit exemptions for the Districts' tax revenue information.

Annual Report Page 2

- 6. See the attached budgets and audit exemptions for the Districts' other revenues.
- 7. See the attached budgets and audit exemptions for the Districts' public improvement expenditures.
- 8. See the attached budgets and audit exemptions for the Districts' other expenditures.

RE-CERTIFICATION OF VALUATION BY Douglas COUNTY ASSESSOR

Name of Jurisidiction. 4436 - Crowfoot Valley Ranch Metro 1

New Entity No

IN Douglas COUNTY, COLORADO ON 11/18/2011

USE FOR STATUTORY PROPERTY TAX REVENUE LIMIT CALCULATIONS (5.5% LIMIT) ONLY

IN ACCORDANCE WITH 39-5-12R29(a) AND 39-5-12R01(C R S AND MO. ATER THAN AUGUST 25. THE ASSESSOR CERTIFIES THE TOTAL VALUATION FOI, ASSESSMENT, FOR THE TAXABLE YEAR 2011 IN Douglas COUNTY COLORADO

1	PREVIOUS YEAR'S NET TOTAL TAXABLE ASSESSED VALUATION			\$28,100
2.	CURRENT YEAR'S GROSS TOTALTAXABLE ASSESSED VALUATION: •			\$30,700
3.	LESS TIF DISTRICT INCREMENT, IF ANY			<u>\$6</u>
4	CURRENT YEAR'S NET TOTAL TAXABLE ASSESSED VALUATION:		Accession of the second	\$30,700
5.	NEW CONSTRUCTION:			<u>\$C</u>
6.	INCREASED PRODUCTION OF PRODUCING MINES #			<u>\$G</u>
7.	ANNEXATIONS/INCLUSIONS:		* 180 - 1	<u>\$0</u>
8.	PREVIOUSLY EXEMPT FEDERAL PROPERTY #			50
9	NEW PRIMARY OIL OR GAS PRODUCTION FROM ANY PRODUCING OIL AND GAS LEASEHOLD OR LAND (29-1-301(1)(b)C R S	##		<u>\$0</u>
10	TAXES COLLECTED LAST YEAR ON OMITTED PROPERTY AS OF AUG. 1 (29-1-301(1))(aIC R S			<u> 30 00</u>
11	TAXES ABATED AND REFUNDED AS OF AUG (1)(29-1-30)(1)(a), C R S (and (39-10-114(1)(a)(b)(B), and (39-10-114(1)(a)(a)(b)(B), and (39-10-114(1)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)	C.R.S.)		<u>50.00</u>

Jurisdiction must submit respective certifications (Forms DLG 52 AND 52A) to the Division of Local Government in order for the values to be treated as growth in the limit calculation

Jurisdiction must apply (Forms DLG 52B) to the Division of Local Government before the value can be treated as growth in the limit calculation

USE FOR 'TABOR' LOCAL GROWTH CALCULATIONS ONLY

	CCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISION OF ARTICLE N. SECTION 20, COLO CONST. AND 39-5-121(2)(b),CR S AL ACTUAL VALLATION FOR THE TANABLE YEAR 2011 IN Douglas COUNTY ON AUGUST 26, 2011	THE ASSESSOR CERTIFIES THE
1. (CURRENT YEAR'S TOTAL ACTUAL VALUE OF ALL REAL PROPERTY: @	\$1.819
	ADDITIONS TO TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY:	219000 92 7 15
2	CONSTRUCTION OF TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY IMPROVEMENTS 1	<u>\$6</u>
3.	ANNEXATIONS/INCLUSIONS	<u>\$0</u>
4.	INCREASED MINING PRODUCTION. %	<u>\$0</u>
5.	PREVIOUSLY EXEMPT PROPERTY:	<u>\$0</u>
6.	OIL OR GAS PRODUCTION FROM A NEW WELL	\$0
7	TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY OMITTED FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR'S TAX WARRANT	<u>\$0</u>
	iff tand and/or a structure is proked up as omitted property for multiple wars, only the most current years actual value can be reported as	amitted property .
	DELETIONS FROM TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY	
8	DESTRUCTION OF TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY IMPROVEMENTS	<u>\$0</u>
ô	DISCONNECTIONS/EXCLUSION	<u>\$L</u>
16	PREVIOUSLY TAXABLE PROPERTY	<u>\$0</u>
C Tr	nis includes the actual value of all taxable real property plus the actual value of religious (private schools) and charitable real	rioped
' Cor	struction is defined as newly constructed faxable real property structures	
%. Inc	cludes production from new mines and increases in production of existing producing mines	
	COORDANCE WITH (39-5-128(1), C.R. S.) AND NO LATEP THAN AUGUST 25, THE ASSESSOR TIFIES TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS 1 TOTAL ACTUAL VALUE OF ALL TAXABLE PROPERTY.	\$C
**	NOTE: All levies must be Certified to the Board of County Commissioners NO	ATER THAN DECEMBER 15,201
	Flore Floto: 11(47/9)144	DLG-57(Pey 7/00)

Data Date: 11/17/2011 DLG-57(Rev 7/00)

^{*} This value reflects personal property exemptions IP enacted by the jurisdiction as authorized by Art. X. Sec 20(8)(b), Colo. Constitution

^{**} New construction is defined as. Taxable real property structures and the personal property connected with the structure.

Differences national LLP www.obfrontarsunation

CliftonLarsonAllen

Accountant's Compilation Report

Board of Directors Crowfoot Valley Ranch Metropolitan District No. 1 Douglas County, Colorado

We have compiled the accompanying forecasted budget of revenues, expenditures and fund balances of the Crowfoot Valley Ranch Metropolitan District No. I for the General Fund and Capital Projects Fund for the year ending December 31, 2012, including the forecasted estimate of comparative information for the year ending December 31, 2011, in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A compilation is limited to presenting, in the form of a forecast, information that is the representation of management and does not include evaluation of the support for the assumptions underlying the forecast. We have not audited or reviewed the forecast and, accordingly, do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance about whether the accompanying budget of revenues, expenditures and fund balances or assumptions are in accordance with attestation standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Furthermore, there will usually be differences between the forecasted and actual results because events and circumstances frequently do not occur as expected, and those differences may be material. We have no responsibility to update this report for events and circumstances occurring after the date of this report.

Management is responsible for the preparation and tair presentation of the forecast in accordance with attestation standards generally accepted in the United States of America, and for designing, implementing and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and tair presentation of the forecast.

The actual historical information for the year 2016 is presented for comparative purposes only. Such information is taken from the Application for Exemption from Audit of the District for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Management has elected to omit the summary of significant accounting policies required by the guidelines for presentation of a forecast established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. If the omitted disclosures were included in the forecast, they might influence the user's conclusions about the District's results of operations for the forecasted periods. Accordingly, this forecast is not designed for those who are not informed about such matters.

We are not independent with respect to the Crowfoot Valley Ranch Metropolitan District No. 1.

Greenwood Village, Colorado January 5, 2012

CROWFOOT VALLEY RANCH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1 SUMMARY

FORECASTED 2012 BUDGET AS ADOPTED WITH 2010 ACTUAL AND 2011 LSTIMATED

For the Years Ended and Ending December 31.

1.5 2012

REVENUE AS 1,045		ACTLA1 2010	1 STIMI (71-1) 2011	ADOPTED 2012
1 Developer advance 21.817 5.000 520.009 2 Net investment income 10 4 7 3 Transfer from other District 22.441 22.553 24.901 Total revenues 34,998 27.557 544.006 TRANSFERS IN	BEGINNING PUND BALANCES	\$ 1,683)	\$ 1,043	\$ 1,405
2 Net investment income 10	REVENUES			
Transfer from other Districts 22,441 22,553 24 961			•	520,000
Transfers 144,998 27,557 544,906		•		21.0
TRANSFERS IN 6,800 -	> Transfer from other Districts			
Total funds available	Total revenues	44,998	27.55	<u>244,900</u>
EXPLNDITURES General and administration 5	TRANSFLRS IN	6,800	-	-
Conting 11,808 8,000 10,000 11,317 900 12,000 12,000 13,17 900 12,000 13,17 10,000 14,000 14,000 15,000 16,000 16,000 16,000 17,000	Total funds available	-1-1.115	28,600	546.401
5 Accounting 11,808 8,000 10,000 6 Contingency - - 8,000 7 District management 10,057 10,000 10,000 8 Election -,343 - 3,000 9 Insurance 701 3,205 4,000 10 Legal 4,246 5,000 10,000 11 Miscellaneous 1,317 900 - 12 Capital project - - 250,000 13 Engineering 6,800 - 250,000 14 Grading Prosion control - - 250,000 Total expenditures 36,272 27,105 545,000 TRANSIT RS OUT 6,800 - - - Total expenditures and transfer out requiring appropriation 43,072 27,105 545,000 FNDING FUND BALANCIS 8 1,643 8 1,495 8 1,461 EMERGENCY RESERVE 5 800 8 700 8 800	EXPENDITURES			
6 Contingency	- General and administration			
Total expenditures and transfer out requiring appropriation 10,057 10,000 10,000 10,000 10 10	5 Accounting	14,808	S.O(ii)	[(),()()()
S Efection		-	-	8,000
9 Insurance 701 3.205 4,000 10 Legal 4.246 5,000 10,000 11 Miscellaneous 1,317 900 - 12 Capital projects - - 250,000 13 Engineering 6,800 - 250,000 14 Grading Frosion control - - - 250,000 Total expenditures 36,272 27,105 545,000 TRANSET RS OUT 6,800 - - - Total expenditures and transfer out requiring appropriation 43,072 27,105 545,000 FNDING FUND BALANCES S 1,043 S 1,495 S 1,461 LMERGENCY RESERVE S 800 S 700 S 800	_		10,000	
10 Legal			Ài	
11 Miscellaneous	Histories c			
12 Capital projects 13	**			10,000
13 Engineering 6,800 - 250,006 14 Grading Erosion control - 250,006 - 250,006		1.517	9()(1	-
14 Grading Frosion control - - 250,000 Total expenditures 36,272 27,105 545,000 TRANSITIRS OUT 6,800 - - Total expenditures and transfer out requiring appropriation 43,072 27,105 545,000 ENDING FUND BALANCIS S. 1,043 S. 1,495 S. 1,461 EMERGENCY RESERVE S. 800 S. 700 S. 800		t tinti		256.00
Total expenditures 36,272 27,105 545,006 TRANSITES OUT 6,806 - - - Total expenditures and transfer out requiring appropriation 23,072 27,105 545,006 ENDING FUND BALANCIS 8 1,043 8 1,495 5 1,461 EMERGENCY RESERVE 5 800 8 700 8 800		0,8(#)	-	
TRANSITERS OF 1 6,806 - - Lotal expenditures and transfer out requiring appropriation 43,072 27,105 545,000 ENDING FUND BALANCIS S 1,643 S 1,495 S 1,461 EMERGENCY RESERVE S 800 S 700 S 800				
Total expenditures and transfer out requiring appropriation	Total expenditures	\$6.2.72	27.102	545,000
requiring appropriation 43.072 27.105 545.000 ENDING FUND BALANCES S 1.043 S 1.495 S 1.461 EMERGENCY RESERVE S 800 S 700 S 800	TRANSFERS OUT	0,800		
ENDING FUND BALANCES S 1,043 S 1,495 S 1,461 EMERGENCY RESERVE S 800 S 700 S 800	Total expenditures and transfer our			
EMERGENCY RESERVE. 5. 800 \$ 700 \$ 800	requiring appropriation	48.072	27.1(65	545,000
	ENDING FUND BALANCES	\$ 1,043	S 0.495	5 [.46]
TOTAL RESERVE \$ \$00 \$ 700 \$ 800	LMERGENCY RESERVE	<u>S</u> 800	S 7(b)	\$ 800
	TOTAL RESERVE	\$ 500	\$ 7(n)	> 800

This financial information, hould be read only in connection with the accompanying accommunity compilation report and summary of significant assumption

CROWFOOT VALLEY RANCH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1 PROPERTY TAX SUMMARY INFORMATION

For the Years Ended and Ending December 31.

	ACTUAL 2010		FSTMATED 2011			DOPTIED 2012
ASSESSED VALUATION - DOUGLAS Agricultural State Assessed	S	19.850	<u>\$</u> ,	28,100	5)+) 30,090
Certified Assessed Value	4	19,850	5	28,100	2	30,700
MILLIEVY						
PROPERTY LANES						
Budgeted Property Taxes	5		>		5	_
BUDGETED PROPERTY TAXES						
	3'	-	5	-	S	-

CROWFOOT VALLEY RANCH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1 GENERAL FUND

FORECASTED 2012 BUDGET AS ADOPTED WITH 2010 ACTUAL AND 2011 ESTIMATED

For the Years Ended and Ending December 31,

		CIUAL 2010	ESTIMATED 2011	ADOPTED 2012
BEGINNING PUNDBALANCES	Ş	(7.583)	S 1,043	\$ 1,495
REVENUIS				
* Developer advance		21.547	5,000	20,000
2 Net investment income		[()	4	5
3. Fransfer from other Districts		23, 411	22.553	J. J. 19(1)
Lotal revenues	W	÷4.008	27,557	44.900
Total tunds available		37,315	28,600	46,461
EXPENDITURES				
General and administration				
4 Accounting		11.808	8,000) ()_()()()
5 Contingency		-	÷	8,000
n District management		10.057	10,000	[0,000
7 Election		1.343	-	3.000
8 Insurance		7(1)	3.205	4.460
y Legar		4.246	5,000 000	10.5000
10 Miscelianeous		1,317		*
Total expenditures		j.e ¹ †3,j	27.105	45 ()()()
TRANSPIRS OUT				
CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND		6,800	***	•••
Lotal transfer out		6,800	_	-
Total expenditures and transfer out requering appropriation		56,272	27.105	45,()0()
ENDING FUND BALANCES	\$:3143	× 1,495	5
EMERGENCY RESERVE	\$	8:10	S 7(N)	\$ 804
TOTAL RESTRY!	S	800	\$ 700	\$ 800

This financial information should be read only in connection with the accompanying accountant's compliation report and summary of significant assumptions

CROWFOOT VALLEY RANCH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1 CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND

FORECASTED 2012 BUDGET AS ADOPTED WITH 2010 ACTUAL AND 2011 ESTIMATED

For the Years Ended and Ending December 31.

	ACT(201	ll l	IMID S	DOPTED -
BI GINNING FUND BALANCI S	\$	- 5.	- \ <u>\</u>	
REVENUES Developer advance		•	-	500,000
Total revenues		Fa.		500,000
TRANSFERS IN GENERAL FUND		0.800	-	-
Fotal transfers in		6.800	-	-
Total tunds available	***************************************	0.800		500,000
ENPENDITURES Capital projects Trigineering		6,800	-	25(),()))()
For the problems of the second		800	-	250,000
Lotal expenditures Lotal expenditures and transfers out requiring appropriation		6,800 6,800	-	500,000
ENDING FUND BALANCES	\$	- \	- 5	-

The financial information should be read only in connection with the accompanying accountants compilation report and summary of significant assumptions.

CROWFOOT VALLEY RANCH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1 2012 BUDGET SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ASSUMPTIONS

Services Provided

The District, a quasi-municipal corporation and a political subdivision of the State of Colorado, was organized by order and decree of the District Court for Douglas County on December 3, 2002, and is governed pursuant to provisions of the Colorado Special District Act (Title 32, Article 1, Colorado Revised Statutes). The District's service area is located in Douglas County, Colorado.

The District was organized to provide financing for the design, acquisition, installation and construction of sanitation improvements, water improvements, street improvements, traffic and safety control improvements, park and recreation improvements, transportation improvements, television relay and translation improvements, mosquito control, fire protection, emergency medical services, and operation and maintenance of the District. Under the Service Plan, the District is the Service District related to Crowfoot Valley Ranch Metropolitan District No. 2, the Financing District ("District No. 2").

At its formation election held on December 3, 2002, the District's voters authorized general obligation indebtedness of \$53,000,000 for street improvements, \$53,000,000 for parks and recreation, \$53,000,000 for water supply system, \$53,000,000 for sanitary sewer system, \$53,000,000 for traffic and safety control. \$53,000,000 for public transport, \$53,000,000 for television relay and translation systems, \$53,000,000 for fire protection and emergency response facilities, \$55,000,000 for the District Facilities Agreement between District #1 and District #2, and \$55,000,000 for refinancing of District debt.

The District has no employees and all administrative functions are contracted.

The District prepares its budger on the modified accrual basis of accounting

Revenues

Interest Income

Interest earned on the District's available funds has been estimated based on an average interest rate of approximately 0.4%.

Developer Advances

The District is in the development stage. As such, the Developer will fund expenditures necessary for the District's general operations and capital infrastructure development for 2012. Developer advances are recorded as revenue for budget purposes with an obligation for future repayment when the District is municially able to reimburse the Developer under agreements approved by the Board.

CROWFOOT VALLEY RANCH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1 2012 BUDGET SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ASSUMPTIONS

Revenues (continued)

Transfers from District No. 2

District No. 2 will transfer the revenues collected from its General Fund mill levy, net of any applicable fees, to fund operations of the District.

Expenditures

General and Administrative Expenditures

General and administrative expenditures have been provided based on estimates of the District's Board of Directors and consultants and include the services necessary to maintain the District's administrative viability such as legal, accounting, managerial, insurance, meeting expense, and other administrative expenses. In 2012 and future years, it is anticipated that all administrative expenditures will be incurred in District No. 1 for both District No. 1 and District No. 2.

Capital Outlay

The District anticipates infrastructure improvements during 2012 as displayed on page 5.

Reserves

Emergency Reserve

The District has provided for an emergency reserve fund equal to at least 3% of fiscal year spending for 2012, as defined under TABOR.

Debt and Leases

The District has no outstanding indebtedness, nor any operating or capital leases.

This information is an integral part of the accompanying forecasted budget.

RE-CERTIFICATION OF VALUATION BY Douglas COUNTY ASSESSOR

Name of Jurisidiction: 4437 - Crowfoot Valley Ranch Metro 2

1. PREVIOUS YEAR'S NET TOTAL TAXABLE ASSESSED VALUATION:

New Entity: No

\$304,580

IN Douglas COUNTY, COLORADO ON 11/18/2011

USE FOR STATUTORY PROPERTY TAX REVENUE LIMIT CALCULATIONS (5.5% LIMIT) ONLY

IN ACCORDANCE WITH 39-5-121(2)(a) AND 39-5-128G) CIRS AND NOT AFFECTIAN AUGUST 25. THE ASSESSOR CHITTERS THE TOTAL VALUATION FOR ASSESSMENT, FOR THE TAXABLE MEAS 2011 IN Longias COUNTY COLORADO.

2	CURRENT YEAR'S GROSS TOTALTAXABLE ASSESSED VALUATION .	\$335,850
3.	LESS TIP DISTRICT INCREMENT, IF ANY	50
4	CURRENT YEAR'S NET TOTAL TAXABLE ASSESSED VALUATION	\$335 <u>.850</u>
5	NEW CONSTRUCTION	<u>\$0</u>
6	INCREASED PRODUCTION OF PRODUCING MINES #	<u>sc</u>
7.	ANNEXATIONS/INCLUSIONS:	<u>\$0</u>
8	PREVIOUSLY EXEMPT FEDERAL PROPERTY #	<u>\$0</u>
Ģ.	NEW PRIMARY OIL OR GAS PRODUCTION FROM ANY PRODUCING OIL AND GAS ## LEASEHOLD OR LAND (29-1-301(1)(b)C.R.S. ##	<u>\$0</u>
10	TAXES COLLECTED LAST YEAR ON OMITTED PROPERTY AS OF AUG. 1 (29-1-301(1));a)C R S .	<u> 50 00</u>
11	TAXES ABATED AND REFUNDED AS OF AUG. 1 (29-1-361(1)(a), C.R.S.) and (39-10-114(1):a)(b),C.R.S.)	<u>\$6.00</u>
**	This value reflects personal property exemptions IF enacted by the jurisdiction as authorized by Art. X, Sec 20,8/(b) Colo. Constitution. New construction is defined as: Taxable real property structures and the personal property connected with the structure currisdiction must submit respective certifications (Forms DEG 52 AND 50A) to the Division of Local Government in order for the values to be treated.	as arowh in the limi
cal	culation	9
###	Jurisdiction must apply (Forms DLG 52B) to the Division of Local Government before the value can be treated as growth in the limit calculation	
	USE FOR 'TABOR' LOCAL GROWTH CALCULATIONS ONLY	
	-ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISION OF ARTICLE X. SECTION 20. COLO CONST. AND 39-5-121 2 for C.R.S., THE IDSESSOR C YEAR ACTUAL VALUATION FOR THE TANABLE YEAR 2011 PS Douglas COUNTY ON AUGUST 25, 2011.	EE TIMES THE
1.	CURRENT YEAR'S TOTAL ACTUAL VALUE OF ALL REAL PROPERTY @	\$592,980
	ADDITIONS TO TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY:	
2	CONSTRUCTION OF TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY IMPROVEMENTS !	<u>\$0</u>
3	ANNEXATIONS/INCLUSIONS	<u>\$0</u>
4	INCREASED MINING PRODUCTION %	<u>\$0</u>
5	PREVIOUSLY EXEMPT PROPERTY.	<u>\$0</u>
6	OIL OR GAS PRODUCTION FROM A NEW WELL:	<u>so</u>
7	TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY OMITTED FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR'S TAX WARRAN!	<u>\$0</u>
	(hiland and/or a structure is bicked up as onlitted property for multiple livers, long, the trips; current year Lactual value can be reported at circled pronocty.)	
	DELETIONS FROM TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY	
8	DESTRUCTION OF TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY IMPROVEMENTS	<u>sc</u>
g	DISCONNECTIONS/EXCLUSION	\$ <u>0</u>
16	PREVIOUSLY TAXABLE PROPERTY	30
@	This includes the actual value of all taxable reak property plus the actual value of religious, private schools, and charitable rear property	
· C	onstruction is defined as newly constructed taxable real property structures	
₩.	includes production from new mines and increases in production of existing producing mines.	
	ACCORDANCE WITH (39-5-128/1),C.R.S.) AND NO LATER THAN AUGUST 25. THE ASSESSOR ERTIFIES TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS: 1. TOTAL ACTUAL VALUE OF ALL TAXABLE PROPERTY.	sc
٠	NOTE: All levies must be Certified to the Board of County Commissioners NO LATER THAN DEC	EMBER 15,2011

Date Date 11/17/2011 DLG-57(Rev 7/00)

Clift nubskriuf len LLF www.cirtonarshnallen.com

CliftonLarsonAllen

Accountant's Compilation Report

Board of Directors Crowfoot Valley Ranch Metropolitan District No. 2 Douglas County, Colorado

We have compiled the accompanying forecasted budget of revenues, expenditures and fund balances of the Crow foot Valley Ranch Metropolitan District No. 2 for the General Fund and Debt Service Fund for the year ending December 31, 2012, including the forecasted estimate of comparative information for the year ending December 31, 2011, in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A compilation is limited to presenting, in the form of a forecast, information that is the representation of management and does not include evaluation of the support for the assumptions underlying the forecast. We have not audited or reviewed the forecast and, accordingly, do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance about whether the accompanying budget of revenues, expenditures and fund balances or assumptions are in accordance with attestation standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Furthermore, there will usually be differences between the forecasted and actual results because events and circumstances frequently do not occur as expected, and those differences may be material. We have no responsibility to update this report for events and circumstances occurring after the date of this report.

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the forecast in accordance with attestation standards generally accepted in the United States of America, and for designing, implementing and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the forecast.

The actual historical information for the year 2010 is presented for comparative purposes only. Such information is taken from the Application for Exemption from Audit of the District for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Management has elected to omit the summary of significant accounting policies required by the guidelines for presentation of a forecast established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. If the omitted disclosures were included in the forecast, they might influence the user's conclusions about the District's results of operations for the forecasted periods. Accordingly, this forecast is not designed for those who are not informed about such matters.

We are not independent with respect to the Crowfoot Valley Ranch Metropolitan District No. 2.

Greenwood Village, Colorado January 5, 2011

CROWFOOT VALLEY RANCH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 SUMMARY

FORECASTED 2012 BUDGLT AS ADOPTED WITH 2010 ACTUAL AND 2011 FSTIMATED

For the Years Ended and Unding December 31.

1.5.2012

		ACTUAL 2010	PSTIMATED 2011	ADOPTED 2012
BEGINNING HAND BALANCES	5	[2,46]	\$ 12,485	\$ 12,403
RIVINUS				
(Property taxes		22.523	21,321	23,510
2 Specific ownership taxes		1.687	1.555	1.804
3 Net investment income		24	S	{ ()
2 Other meome		~	-	1,000
5. Fire portection tax		2.171	2,050	2,267
Total revenues		26,405	2.1.9.1()	28.501
Total times available		38,866	37.425	-11.08-1
EXPENDITERES				
6 General and administration				
7 Contingency			-	/ ((())
8 County Treasurer's tees		370	355	38
9 Paymen: to town		2.570	2.024	2.233
10 Fransfer to District No. 1		23.441	22,553	24,961
Total expenditures		26.381	54.935	28.581
Total expenditures and transfer, out				
requiring appropriation		26.381	24.932	28.581
ENDING FUND BALANCES	\$	12.485	S 12,493	S <u>12,503</u>

This financial information should be read only in connection with the accompanying accountant's compilation report and summary of significant assumptions.

CROWFOOT VALLEY RANCH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 PROPERTY TAX SUMMARY INFORMATION

For the Vears Ended and Ending December 31,

		CTUAL 2010	ESTIMATED 2011			DOPTED 2012
ASSESSED VALUATION - DOUGLAS						
Residential	S	23,760	5	23.760	4	36,060
Agriculturai		38,290		38,720		32.010
State Assersed		259,700		242.100		265,980
Certified Assessed Value	3	221,750	\$	304,580	5	335,850
MILL FEVY						
GLNERALHIND		$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{h}))$		$\neg (\tau_i(t)(t))$		70,000
FIRE PROTICTION		6.750		6,750		6.750
Total Mill Levy	**************************************	76.750		70,750		70,750
PROPERTY TANES						
GENERAL HUND	5	22.523	S	21.321	Ç	23.510
FIRE PROTECTION		2.171		2.056		2,207
nevied property taxes		14.694		23.377		25.77
Adjustments to actual rounding		**				-
Budgeted Property Taxes	S	24,694	۶.	23.377	ζ.	23.775
B) DGETED PROPERTY TAXES						
GENERAL FUND	S	22,523	`.	21,321	Ş	23.510
HRE PROTECTION		2.171		2.056		2.207
	\$	24.694	Ż	23.377	5	25,777

CROWFOOT VALLEY RANCH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 GENERAL FUND

FORECASTED 2012 BUDGET AS ADOPTED WITH 2010 ACTUAL AND 2011 ESTIMATED

For the Years Unded and Ending December 31.

	ACTUAL T	STIMATUD 2011	7DOPTED 2012
BEGINNING LUND BALANCES	\$	-	<u>.</u>
REVENUES			
¹ Property taxes	22.523	21.321	23.510
2 Specific ownership taxes	1.687	1.555	1,804
3 Other income		AP.	1.0(10)
4 Fire portection tax.	2,171	2.050	2.26
Total revenues	26.381	24.932	28,581
Total funds available	20,381	24.932	28,581
LNPI NDITORI S			
General and administration			
7 Contingency	~	**	[_(3(b)
6 County Treasurer's fees	370	355	387
Payment to town	2.570	2.024	2.233
8 Fransier to District No. 1	23.441	22,553	24,961
Total expenditures	26.381	24.932	28,581
Lotar expenditures and transfers our			
requiring appropriation	26.381	24.932	28,581
FNDING HIND BALANCES	<u> </u>	_	

CROWFOOT VALLEY RANCH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 DEBT SERVICE FUND

FORECASTED 2012 BUDGET AS ADOPTED WITH 2010 ACTUAL AND 2011 LSTIMATED

For the Years Ended and Ending December 31.

1.5.2012

	. 4	CTCA1 2010	ESTIMATEI 2014)	ADOPTED 2012
BEGINNING FUND BALLANCES	5	12.461	5 12.48	5 4	12.443
REVENUES 1 Net investment income		24		S	10
Loral revenues		24		8	} (!
Total funds available	····	12,485	12,49	, }	12.503
EXPENDITURES					
Lotal expenditures		-			-
Total e-penditures and transfers out requiring appropriation		_			
ENDING FUND BALANCES	S	12.485	S 12.40	3 5	12.503

This immedial information should be read only in connection with the accompanying accountant, corruptions report and summary of significant assumptions.

CROWFOOT VALLEY RANCH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 2012 BUDGET SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ASSUMPTIONS

Services Provided

The District, a quasi-municipal corporation and a political subdivision of the State of Colorado, was organized by order and decree of the District Court for Douglas County on December 3, 2002, and is governed pursuant to provisions of the Colorado Special District Act (Title 32, Article 1, Colorado Revised Statutes). The District's service area is located in Douglas County, Colorado.

The District was organized to provide financing for the design, acquisition, installation and construction of sanitation improvements, water improvements, street improvements, traffic and safety control improvements, park and recreation improvements, transportation improvements, television relay and translation improvements, mosquito control, fire protection, emergency medical services, and operation and maintenance of the District. Under the Service Plan, the District is the Financing District related to Crowfoot Valley Ranch Metropolitan District No. 1, the Service District ("District No. 1").

The District has no employees and all administrative functions are contracted.

The District prepares its budget on the modified accrual basis of accounting.

Revenues

Property Taxes

Property taxes are levied by the District's Board of Directors. The levy is based on assessed valuations determined by the County Assessor generally as of January 1 of each year. The levy is normally set by December 15 by certification to the County Commissioners to put the tax lien on the individual properties as of January 1 of the following year. The County Treasurer collects the determined taxes during the ensuing calendar year. The taxes are payable by April or, if in equal installments, at the taxpayer's election, in Lebruary and June. Delinquent taxpayers are notified in August and generally sales of the tax liens on delinquent properties are held in November or December. The County Treasurer remits the taxes collected monthly to the District

The calculation of the taxes tevied is displayed on page 3 of the budget at the adopted total mill levy of 76,750 mills, which includes 70,000 mills for operations and 6,750 mills for fire protection and emergency response.

Specific Ownership Taxes

Specific ownership taxes are set by the State and collected by the County Treasurer, primarily on vehicle licensing within the County as a whole. The specific ownership taxes are allocated by the County Treasurer to all taxing entities within the County. The forecast assumes that the District's share will be equal to approximately 7.0% of the total property taxe, collected by the General Fund.

CROWFOOT VALLEY RANCH METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 2012 BUDGET SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ASSUMPTIONS

Expenditures

General and Administrative Expenditures

District No. I is the operating District: therefore, general and administrative expenditures necessary to maintain the District's administrative viability such as legal, accounting, managerial, insurance, meeting expense, and other administrative expenses will be incurred in District No. 1 for both Districts.

Transfer to District No. 1

The District will transfer its operating property tax and specific ownership tax revenues, net of any applicable (ees, to District No. 1 to fund operating costs.

Payment to Town

The District has entered into an intergovernmental agreement with the Town of Castle Rock for fire protection and emergency response services. The Town of Castle Rock was required to build a new fire station to service the District and as a result the District agreed to levy 6.750 mills and remit the proceeds, net of collection fees, to the Town annually.

County Treasurer's Fees

County Treasurer's fees have been computed at 1.5% of property tax collections.

Reserves

Emergency Reserve

The District anticipates transferring all of its operating revenues to District No. 1. Therefore, District No. 1 will provide for an emergency reserve fund equal to at least 3% of fiscal year spending for 2012, as defined under TABOR.

Debt and Leases

The District has no outstanding indebtedness, nor any operating or capital leases.

This information is an integral part of the accompanying forecasted budget.